

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<del></del>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,390 08/21/2003		Mitinori Saitou	DKA-33545	1543
56080	7590 09/21/2006	EXAMINER		
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C.			GAMETT, DANIEL C	
ONE EAST M	IAIN STREET			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, WI 53703-3300			1647	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/646,390	SAITOU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647

	Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	1647					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must !	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further company they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t <sub>.</sub> (PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7, and 24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-22,25 and 28-</u>	54.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del></del> -						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b  See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:		sA	5				
•		DAVID S. RI	OMEO				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rejection of claims 1,7, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained. The amended claims are drawn to a genus of polypeptides that is defined only by sequence identity. The polypeptide of claim 24 could be as little as 70% identical to SEQ ID NO:2. In the absence of a functional limitation, only the species defined by SEQ ID NO: 2 is described. A claim to 95% sequence identity may be allowable if accompanied by a functional limitation having to do with primordial germ cell competence or homotypic association, as supported at [0304] of the published specification.